

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,938	09/02/2003	Manfred Watzele	RDID03056US	2575
23690 75	590 07/24/2006		EXAMINER	
	ostics Corporation, Inc.	MENON, KRISHNAN S		
9115 Hague Road PO Box 50457			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Indianapolis, IN 46250-0457			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/652,938	WATZELE ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Krishnan S. Menon	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or				
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) on, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS		for the section of th				
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a	••	ampliant Amandment (DTOL 224)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/652,938

Art Unit: 1723

Advisory Action

The amendment filed 7/19/06 will not be entered because it contains new issues which require further consideration. The new issue is in claim 7, which appears to be reciting a different "fixing part" than the fixing part as recited in claim 1. If so, there is no embodiment disclosed in the applicant's specification as originally filed that would support the amended claim 7 (part 21 in figure 2 and 33 in figure 5: no embodiment which uses parts 21 and 33). The use of the same name, "fixing part" to two distinctly different parts would also make the claim indefinite.

Response to arguments:

Regarding the finality of the action, applicant's argument that the use of the new reference, the Wolf reference, to overcome the limitations as originally recited in claim 3 is not persuasive; applicant amended claim 1 by adding new limitations and also using cancelled claim 4, which, along with the amendment of claim 3, changed the scope of claim 3.

Argument re the 103 rejection: applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the rejection. The argument reads as if every reference used in the rejection should teach every limitation in the claim, which is not required to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. Moreover, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the

Application/Control Number: 10/652,938

Art Unit: 1723

references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Dimensional differences are not patentable according to the case law cited.

Applicant has not shown any criticality of these dimensions nor any evidence for patentability.

Arguments regarding the Schels reference having "pins" is not persuasive, as explained in the final rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S. Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,938

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Krishnan S Menon

Page 4

Examiner
Art Unit 1723